Parameter study of the diamagnetic relativistic pulse accelerator in slab geometry. I. Dependence on initial frequency ratio and slab width
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Two-and-a-half-dimensional particle-in-cell plasma simulations are used to study the particle energization in expanding magnetized electron-positron plasmas with slab geometry. When the magnetized relativistic plasma with high temperature (electron and positron temperature are \( k_B T_e = k_B T_p = 5 \) MeV) is expanding into a vacuum, the electromagnetic (EM) pulse with large amplitude is formed and the surface plasma particles are efficiently accelerated in the forward direction owing to the energy conversion from the EM field to the plasma particles. The behavior of the diamagnetic relativistic pulse accelerator depends strongly on the ratio of the electron plasma frequency to the cyclotron frequency \( \omega_{pe}/\Omega_c \) and the initial plasma thickness. In the high \( \omega_{pe}/\Omega_c \) case, the EM pulse is rapidly damped and the plasma diffuses uniformly without forming density peaks because the initial thermal energy of the plasma is much larger than the field energy. On the contrary, in the low \( \omega_{pe}/\Omega_c \) case, the field energy becomes large enough to energize all the plasma particles, which are confined in the EM pulse and efficiently accelerated to ultrarelativistic energies. It is also found that a thicker initial plasma increases the maximum energy of the accelerated particles. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1791211]

I. INTRODUCTION

In astrophysical, solar and space plasmas, the acceleration of high energy particles is an intriguing and unsolved problem. Magnetic reconnection, wave turbulence, and collisionless shocks are often invoked for the acceleration of plasma particles, from cosmic rays, solar flares to \( \gamma \)-ray bursts\(^1 \) and astrophysical jets.

A new mechanism of particle energization by freely expanding, hot, strongly magnetized collisionless plasmas was recently discovered by Liang et al.\(^2 \) This mechanism, called the diamagnetic relativistic pulse accelerator (DRPA), is able to convert most of the initial magnetic energy into the ultrarelativistic kinetic energy of a small fraction of the surface particles. Moreover, Liang and Nishimura\(^3 \) have found that the late-time plasma pulse of the DRPA reproduces many of the unique features of cosmic \( \gamma \)-ray bursts. Hence the DRPA may shed new light on the unsolved problem of cosmic \( \gamma \)-ray bursts. When a strongly magnetized \( (\Omega_e/\omega_{pe} > 1, \Omega_e = eB_0/m_e) \) is the electron cyclotron frequency defined by the initial magnetic field and \( \omega_{pe} = \sqrt{e^2 n_0/m_e} \) is the electron plasma frequency where \( e_0 \) is the dielectric constant of vacuum and \( n_0 \) is the initial electron density) collisionless plasma is suddenly deconfined and expands into a vacuum or low-density surrounding, an electromagnetic pulse is formed at the expansion surface together with a strong drift current, which traps and accelerates a small fraction of surface particles via the \( J \times B \) and ponderomotive forces\(^4 \) and the magnetic energy is efficiently converted into directed particle energy.

In our previous papers,\(^2,3,6 \) the DRPA was studied by performing two-and-a-half-dimensional (2-1/2 D) particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations for both electron-positron (mass ratio is \( m_e/m_p = 1 \)) and electron-ion (\( m_e/m_p = 100 \)) plasmas with a specific set of initial parameters, and only carried out to 1000\( \Omega_c^{-1} \). In this paper, we will study the parameter dependence and the long-term evolution of the DRPA for electron-positron plasmas by means of a series of large-scale PIC simulations.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section II describes the simulation model and the simulation parameters. The benchmark results of Liang et al.\(^2 \) are reviewed and summarized in Sec. III A for comparison with other cases. The long-term evolution of the DRPA is described in Sec. III B. The initial parameter dependence of DRPA is discussed in Secs. III C and III D. Section IV gives a summary of our results.

II. SIMULATION MODEL

In our particle simulation code,\(^5 \) we use a 2-1/2 D explicit simulation scheme based on the PIC method for time advancing of plasma particles. In this method, spatial grids are introduced to calculate the field quantities, and the grid separations are uniform, \( \Delta x = \Delta z = \lambda_e \), where \( \lambda_e \) is the electron Debye length defined by \( c/\omega_{pe} \) (\( c \) is the speed of light). In our simulations, the thermal speed of electrons is almost equal to \( c \) because the plasma temperature is \( k_B T_e = k_B T_p = 5 \) MeV, where the subscripts \( e \) and \( p \) refer to electrons and positrons. The simulation domain on the \( x-z \) plane is \( -L_x/2 \leq x \leq L_x/2 \) and \( -L_z/2 \leq z \leq L_z/2 \).

We treat plasma particles as superparticles, with 20 superparticles per cell (if the superparticles are spread uniformly on the entire simulation domain) for each component.
(electrons and positrons). Most of the particle densities, however, concentrate on the expanding surface in our study and we are mainly interested in particle behaviors near the surface. Thus, this number of superparticles is enough to accurately resolve the physics of surface particle acceleration. To prevent large violations of Gauss’s law due to numerical noises, the Marder’s method for the electric field correction is adopted at every time step in the code.

We use a doubly periodic system in \(x\) and \(z\) directions, and the system length is \(L_x=3840\Delta x\) (run \(A\)), \(3840\Delta x\) (run \(B\)), \(1920\Delta x\) (run \(C\)), and \(7680\Delta x\) (run \(D\)) and \(L_z=10\Delta z\) (for all four runs). Initially, the electron and positron distributions are assumed to be relativistic Maxwellian with spatially uniform temperature, \(k_BT_e=k_BT_p=5\) MeV. The spatial distribution of initial plasmas is assumed to be a uniform slab with the lengths \(12\Delta x \times 10\Delta z\) (runs \(A, B, C\)) and \(60\Delta x \times 10\Delta z\) (run \(D\)) and the plasmas are located in the center of the system. The background magnetic field \(B_0=(0, B_0, 0)\) initially exists only inside the plasma.

We will first compare three simulation results by changing the frequency ratio \(\omega_p/\Omega_c\). The values are \(\omega_p/\Omega_c=0.104\) (run \(A\)), 1.0 (run \(B\)), and 0.01 (run \(C\)). At the end we will also discuss the result of changing the initial slab thickness (run \(D\)).

III. RESULTS

A. Diamagnetic relativistic pulse accelerator

First, we illustrate the mechanism of the diamagnetic relativistic pulse accelerator (DRPA) by reviewing the early \((\Omega_c \ll 10^3)\) results of the benchmark run \(A\) (Liang et al., \(^2\)). In run \(A\), the magnetic field energy is initially almost equal to the thermal energy of plasma particles, i.e., electron and positron beta is \(\beta_e=\beta_p=0.551\) \((\beta=2\mu_0\rho_0 k_BT/B^2_0)\), where \(\mu_0\) is the magnetic permeability of vacuum.

In Fig. 1(a), the system-integrated energies of the magnetic field (line 1), electric field (line 2), electrons (line 3), and positrons (line 4) are shown as functions of time. The initial sharp gradient of the magnetic field distribution at the boundaries between plasma and vacuum rapidly induces an electromagnetic pulse, which propagates towards the vacuum region. The plasma simultaneously begins to expand. We see the rapid decrease of the magnetic energy and the increase of the electric field energy due to the generation of the electromagnetic (EM) pulse during the period shown as phase 1 in Fig. 1(a). In phase 2, both the magnetic and electric field energies begin to decrease and they are converted into the electron and positron kinetic energies. Also, the EM pulse with the amplitude \(eb_0 \sim E_z\) is formed at the expansion surface during this period. In phase 3, the energy conversion from the field energies to the particle kinetic energies still continues although the conversion rate becomes slower compared with that in phase 2.

Figure 2 illustrates the spatial profiles of the (a) magnetic field \(B_y\), (b) electric field \(E_z\), (c) current density \(J_z\), and (d) electron density \(n_e\) at \(t\Omega_c=0, 80, 500,\) and \(1000\) for run \(A\). The magnetic field and the electron density for \(a=0\) are identical, on the other hand, the electric field and the current density become asymmetric about \(x=0\). The values of \(E_z\) and \(J_z\) are zero at \(t\Omega_c=0\). Only the profiles near the expansion surface are drawn at \(t\Omega_c=500\) and \(1000\) to avoid making the figure cluttery. At \(t\Omega_c=80\), the EM pulse is formed and begin to expand with plasmas into the vacuum. The gradient drift in the \(z\) direction induces a current density. At \(t\Omega_c=500\), the EM pulse has been formed at the expansion surface. Most of the plasma particles have followed the EM pulse propagation and the plasma density has a peak corresponding to particles trapped by the ponderomotive force of the pulse. The amplitude of the EM pulse is gradually damped as EM energy is transformed into particle energy.

The \(x-z\) configuration of electrons is plotted at three different times in Fig. 3. The electron-positron slab with the internal magnetic field expands rapidly into the vacuum region. The behavior of positrons is identical with that of electrons. The expansion speed of the plasma surface in the \(x\) direction is almost the speed of light, \(V_s \approx c\). Several simulation studies of the plasma expansion across an initially uniform magnetic field have been carried out, \(^{8-11}\) and the lower
hybrid drift instability has been found to deform the structure of the expanding plasma surface. As shown in Fig. 3, there appears no instability at the plasma surface in our result. There are two main differences between our simulation and the previous studies:8–11 (a) in their studies, an initial magnetic field exists uniformly all over the simulation plane and the plasma expands (or diffuses) across the field, (b) the electron-ion plasma are considered in their studies, thus, the charge separation due to the large mass ratio causes the different drift speed between electrons and ions, which makes the lower hybrid drift wave unstable. These differences may explain the absence of instability in our simulation. In addition, the effective Larmor radius of relativistic plasmas given by \( \frac{g_s c}{V_e d} \) is larger than our system length in the \( z \) direction at late times. Thus, any effect of the Larmor radius play no important role in our simulations, and we cannot see any effect caused by the Larmor motion of relativistic plasmas.

B. Long-term evolution of the DRPA

Let us focus on the long-term evolution of the DRPA in run \( A \). Figure 5 shows the spatial profiles of the (a) magnetic field \( B_y \), (b) electric field \( E_z \), (c) current density \( J_z \), (d) electron density \( n_e \), and (e) the phase plots \( x-P_x \) of electrons for run \( A \) at \( t\Omega_c=6000 \), 10 000, 14 000, and 18 000. Only the portion near the expansion front at each time has been displayed in each panel. In Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), the wave packet is dispersively spreading and the EM pulse amplitude gradually decreases as time elapses. The reduction of the pulse amplitude corresponds to the conversion of the EM energy into the kinetic energy of the expanding plasma. In Fig. 5(e), we recognize the electron momentum \( P_x \) near the first pulse of the wave packet dramatically increases as time goes on. The maximum value of \( P_x \) reaches more than 500m\( c \) at \( t\Omega_c=18 000 \).

\( \langle P_x \rangle =100m_c \) and the maximum momentum of energetic particles reaches \( P_{x,\text{max}} \sim 200m_c \) at \( t\Omega_c=1000 \). On the other hand, the momentum \( P_z \) indicates no dramatic increase even at late times. This means that the plasma particles are effectively accelerated into the \( x \) direction rather than the \( z \) direction.
Another significant feature of the late time behavior in run A is the bifurcation of plasma density. In the initial phase of the DRPA, the electron density near the wave packet has only one peak as shown in Fig. 2(d). At late times in Fig. 5(d), the peak has been split into several peaks. The bifurcation of the plasma density profile results from the development of new transverse current and reverse currents behind the front, which forms new traps for particles in the ponderomotive potential.

Figure 6(a) shows the momentum distributions of electrons which are located in the vicinity of the EM pulse at \( t\Omega_e = 1000, 6000, \) and 10 000. The momentum at which the distribution takes a maximum value tends to increase as time elapses, i.e., \( P_x = 45m_e c \) at \( t\Omega_e = 1000, \) \( P_x = 60m_e c \) at \( t\Omega_e = 6000, \) and \( P_x = 80m_e c \) at \( t\Omega_e = 10 000. \)

C. Frequency ratio dependence of the DRPA

In the previous sections, we summarize the results of run A (with the frequency ratio \( \omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 0.105 \)). Next, we examine the frequency ratio dependence of the DRPA. The frequency ratios discussed here are \( \omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 1.0 \) (run B) and \( \omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 0.01 \) (run C). Other fundamental simulation parameters are exactly same as those of run A.

Figure 8 displays the spatial profiles of the same quantities shown in Fig. 2, in the case of run B, at different times. Figs. 8(a)–8(c) and 8(e) mainly show the region near the EM pulse at each time, and the values of \( E_x \) and \( J_x \) are zero at \( t\Omega_e = 0. \) The electron density \( n_e \) at \( t\Omega_e = 0 \) is not shown in Fig. 8(d) since the initial density \( n_e/n_0 = 1 \) is much larger than the values at other three times. Compared with the results of run A, no apparent EM pulse with large amplitudes can be formed at the expansion surface in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b). In Fig. 8(d), we find that the electrons are distributed in a broad
the peak value of the magnetic field pulse field formed at the expansion surface. Temporal evolution of each energy component is shown in Fig. 9. We focus on the amplitude of the propagating magnetic field formed at the expansion surface. Temporal evolution of the peak value of the magnetic field pulse $B_y$ is shown in Fig. 9(a). As for run A, the peak value dramatically decreases during $0 \leq \Omega_e < 80$ (this period corresponds to phase 1 in Fig. 1) and then continues to decrease at a constant damping rate (this period corresponds to phases 2 and 3 in Fig. 1). We can see the similar tendency in the temporal evolution of the peak value for run B during $0 \leq \Omega_e < 500$, but the damping rate of the peak value is much larger than that of run A. At $t\Omega_e = 500$, the peak value becomes $B_y \approx 0.3B_0$. This means that the magnetic field energy in run B can be dissipated much faster than that in run A. Although the magnetic field energy is rapidly converted into particle energy, the total kinetic energy of run B hardly changes since the initial plasma beta is very high. Therefore, the evolution can be described as the free expansion of the plasma rather than the expansion accompanied by the acceleration. The electron momentum of run B, at which the momentum distribution takes a maximum, is shown as a function of time in Fig. 7(b). The momentum remains around $P_x = 15m_ee$ even when the amplitude of the magnetic field pulse becomes $B_y = 0.3B_0$ at $t\Omega_e = 500$ because the converted magnetic field energy is too small to accelerate all the plasma particles due to the DRPA. Thus, the electrons at late times exhibit almost uniform distribution by the free expansion and the density pulse is not formed in run B, as shown in Fig. 8(d). We see the evolution of the electron momentum distribution for run B in Fig. 6(b).

region, rather than confined in the EM pulse. We see no sign of bifurcation of the density pulse like in run A. In run B, initially the thermal energy of plasma particles is much larger than the magnetic field energy because of $\beta_e = \beta_p = 50$. Temporal evolution of each energy component is shown in Fig. 1(b). The increase of the EM field energy after $t\Omega_e = 500$ expresses the development of the field fluctuation and is caused by the thermal fluctuation of the plasma kinetic energy.

The distribution keeps a Maxwellian form even at late times. This means that the plasma is consisted almost only of the thermal component.

Figure 10 shows the results of run C ($\omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 0.01$ and $\beta_e = \beta_p = 0.005$). In this run the initial magnetic field energy is much greater than the thermal energy of plasma particles. The converted energy of the EM pulse can be effectively used for the particle acceleration [see Fig. 1(c)]. In Fig. 10(d), most of the electrons has been accelerated owing to the EM pulse and the electron density is more concentrated at the expanding surface. Temporal evolution of the maximum amplitude of the pulse magnetic field in run C is also

FIG. 8. Spatial profiles of the (a) magnetic field $B_y$, (b) electric field $E_z$, (c) current density $J_z$, and (d) electron density $n_e$ as functions of $x$ at $z=0$, and (e) phase plots of electrons in the $x$-$P_x$ space for run B. The quantities only in the $x>0$ region are shown at $t\Omega_e = 0$, 500, 1000, and 1500.

FIG. 9. Temporal evolutions of the peak value of the magnetic field of the pulse for (a) runs A, B, C, and the case with no plasma (pure vacuum EM pulse), and (b) comparison between runs A and D.

FIG. 10. Spatial profiles of the (a) magnetic field $B_y$, (b) electric field $E_z$, (c) current density $J_z$, and (d) electron density $n_e$ as functions of $x$ at $z=0$, and (e) phase plots of electrons in the $x$-$P_x$ space for run C. The quantities only in the $x>0$ region are shown at $t\Omega_e = 0$, 20 000, 40 000, 60 000, and 80 000.
plotted in Fig. 9(a). The period during $0 < \tau \Omega_e < 750$ represents an initial relaxation phase in run C, which corresponds to phase 1 in Fig. 1(a) of run A. After that, the peak value stays almost constant, $B_x = 0.62B_0$. For comparison, the result of a vacuum EM pulse without plasma is shown in Fig. 9(a). In this run without plasma, we set up the initial magnetic field $B_y$ to be $B_y(x) = B_0$ for $|x| < 3\Delta x$ and $B_y(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 3\Delta x$, and the electric field is initially zero everywhere. The EM pulse excited by the spatial gradient of the initial magnetic field propagates according to the wave equation in a vacuum. It is found that the amplitude of the EM wave is almost unchanged after the initial relaxation phase ($0 < \tau \Omega_e < 80$) in Fig. 9(a). The EM pulse in run C is very similar to the pulse without plasma since the initial plasma beta is small, $\beta = 0.005$, and only a small portion of the total magnetic field energy is converted into the plasma kinetic energy.

The evolution of the electron momentum distribution for run C is shown in Fig. 6(c). Since most of electrons are accelerated due to the DRPA, the tail part of the distribution exhibits a power law with sharp slope. In Fig. 7(c), the peak momentum of the surface electrons increases rapidly as time elapses, compared to runs A and B with higher frequency ratios. The average momentum of electrons reaches $\langle P_x \rangle = 1500m_e c$ at $\tau \Omega_e = 30,000$.

D. Dependence on the initial plasma width

In this section, we examine how the width of the initial plasma can affect the DRPA. The widths of the density pulse of expanding plasmas tend to scale with the width of the initial plasma, as we expect from causality. The propagating EM pulses have the same tendency since the initial magnetic field has the same width as the plasma density. We have carried out run D, in which the frequency ratio is $\omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 0.105$ and the initial width of plasma is five times that of run A.

The temporal evolution of each energy is shown in Fig. 1(d). The apparent energy conversion from field energies into particle energies due to the DRPA can be seen until $\tau \Omega_e \approx 5000$, which is similar to the evolution in Fig. 1(a). After that time, the total EM energy slightly increases and the total particle energies tends to decrease. These slight energy changes against the DRPA is caused by the local energy changes in the regions except the expansion surface. In those regions, the particle acceleration due to the DRPA hardly works efficiently. Even though the total particle energies decreases, we will show that the plasmas near the propagating EM pulse continue to be energized after $\tau \Omega_e \approx 5000$.

The electron momentum distributions for run D are displayed in Fig. 6(d) at three different times. As time elapses, the average momentum of surface electrons tends to increase, and we recognize a lot of peaks in the high momentum part at $\tau \Omega_e = 10,000$. As we will show later in Fig. 11, these peaks correspond to a repeated bifurcation of electron density at the expansion surface. In Fig. 7(a), the results of run D are also plotted. There is no big difference between the peak electron momenta of runs A and D, except in D the acceleration seems more delayed due to the prolific early bifurcations. However the maximum energy achieved by the most energetic particles is higher in D than in A. This is likely caused by the larger coherence length for acceleration in D due to the wider EM pulse.

Figure 11 shows the spatial profiles of the (a) magnetic field $B_y$, (b) electric field $E_z$, (c) current density $J_z$, (d) electron density $n_e$, and (e) phase plots $x-P_x$ of electrons for run D at $\tau \Omega_e = 6000, 10000, 14000, 18000$. Only the portion near the expansion surface at each time has been displayed in each panel. Compared with the electron density of run A in Fig. 5(b), the width of the density of the expanding electrons $w$ becomes larger, e.g., $w = 2000c/\Omega_e$ at $\tau \Omega_e = 18000$ in Fig. 11(d). We also recognize much more bifurcations of the electron density at late times.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

We have used two-and-a-half-dimensional particle-in-cell plasma simulations to investigate the particle energization of expanding relativistic electron-positron plasmas. When magnetized plasmas with high temperature expand into a vacuum, the particles at the expansion surface can be accelerated in the expansion direction. This energization mechanism is called diamagnetic relativistic pulse accelerator DRPA.2

In this paper, we mainly discuss the long-term evolution and the initial parameter dependences of DRPA. In the case of the electron-positron plasma with the frequency ratio $\omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 0.105$ and the temperature $k_B T_e = k_B T_p = 5$ MeV, an electromagnetic (EM) pulse with large amplitude is generated and propagating into a vacuum. The plasma particles are trapped and accelerated by the ponderomotive force. As time elapses, since the magnetic field energy is converted into the kinetic energy of the particles, the amplitude of the EM pulse is decreased and the particles near the expansion surface are energized. The expanding plasma density is concentrated on the vicinity of the expansion surface at the early phase of the
expansion. And at the late phase, we observe the bifurcation of plasma density due to the formation of new transverse currents.

The properties of DRPA strongly depend on the frequency ratio $\omega_{pe}/\Omega_c$. In the case of a high frequency ratio ($\omega_{pe}/\Omega_c = 1.0$), the EM pulse is rapidly damped and the plasma is expanding freely with little acceleration since the energy of the plasma is much larger than the magnetic energy. On the other hand, in the case of a low frequency ratio ($\omega_{pe}/\Omega_c = 0.01$), the magnetic energy is much larger than the plasma thermal energy. Most of the expanding plasma is trapped by the EM pulse and the plasma is efficiently energized at late times, achieving higher Lorentz factors than in the other cases. While we have not discussed the results in this paper, we have also studied the effects of varying the initial plasma temperature. In general we find that the DRPA mechanism appears rather insensitive to the initial temperature. For example, when we lower the initial temperature for runs $A$, $B$ and $C$ to $5$ keV we still find no acceleration in run $B$, but strong acceleration in run $A$ and run $C$, similar to the $5$ MeV cases. The only difference is that the momentum distribution of the accelerated particles appears to be narrower in the $5$ keV case. These temperature studies will be reported elsewhere.

In addition to the frequency ratio, we have studied here the effect of varying the initial width of the plasma slab. As expected, the width of the asymptotic EM pulse tends to be proportional to the initial width of the plasma slab. Both the EM pulse and the plasma density are broadened, and the density pulse develops many more peaks at late times. We also find that the maximum cutoff energy of the accelerated particles is higher in this case due to the longer pulse length.

Finally, we note the system length in the $z$ direction in our two-dimensional simulation domain. As we mentioned in Sec. III A, the effective Larmor radius of relativistic electron-positron plasmas $\gamma c/\Omega_c$ becomes larger than $L_z$ in runs $A$, $C$, and $D$. Thus, the present system length $L_z$ will be insufficient to resolve the physical phenomena on scales larger than the relativistic Larmor radius if there exist some instabilities which can develop along $L_z$. However, to our knowledge, previously observed instabilities, such as the lower hybrid drift instability, do not grow in our magnetic-dominated electron-positron relativistic plasmas.

While we have assumed, for simplicity, a sharp boundary between the magnetized plasma and the vacuum in the above simulations, we find that relaxing this assumption does not impact the outcomes. We have in fact performed many simulations with smooth gradual profiles of the magnetized plasma boundaries, and obtained similar asymptotic results. The only difference is that the acceleration process takes longer to get going and the early plasma density pulse becomes more spread out. But eventually the asymptotic states are very similar, with almost identical Lorentz factor distributions at the pulse front. These results will be reported elsewhere.

Similarly, one can ask if the initial condition of an interior magnetostatic field with no supporting current, which is equivalent to setting up two counter-propagating electromagnetic pulses, may be too artificial and difficult to realize in nature. We can answer this in two different ways. First, since we are dealing with electron-positron plasmas, the absence of an initial current is not completely implausible. We can imagine situations where the initial supporting currents of counter streaming electrons and positrons near the surface manage to annihilate just prior to $t=0$, leaving behind no surface current at $t=0$, thus allowing the magnetic field to expand into EM pulses. Note that in this scenario, the pre-annihilation surface $e^+e^-$ density can be much higher than the residual $e^+e^-$ density left behind. This can then account for a residual field much higher than that can be supported by the residual $e^+e^-$ plasma even if they were to drift at $+/−c$, which is the case we studied in run $C$. In fact, since the annihilation rate goes up as density squared and goes down with increasing temperature, a suddenly compressed surface layer of $e^+e^-$ which make up the supporting current would annihilate much faster than the interior hot $e^+e^-$ plasma. Another scenario for sudden current elimination could be stimulated annihilation, if the $e^+e^-$ plasma surface is irradiated with an intense flux of near $511$ keV gamma-rays.

But suppose there is no annihilation. How would the presence of an initial supporting current at $t=0$ affect our results? We have in fact studied the case of run $A$ with non-zero initial surface currents such that $\nabla \times B$ is balanced by $J$ everywhere at $t=0$. Interestingly, the DRPA is still launched in this case with similar asymptotic Lorentz factors. What happens is that small numerical noises in the initial $B$ and $J$ inevitably lead to small imbalances between $\nabla \times B$ and $J$. This launches small wavelets of expanding EM pulses, which disrupt the current. We find that the initial $J$ completely disappears within a light-crossing time of the initial current width, and a global EM pulse emerges on the same time scale. In other words, once we remove any external EMF that sustains the current generating the interior magnetic field, the current would disappear within a light-crossing time, and the remnant magnetic field would expand as an EM pulse, launching the DRPA. These other results dealing with the initial conditions will be reported elsewhere.
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